

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,842	09/12/2003		Edward William Sheehan	8545	
7	590	03/29/2004		EXAMINER	
Edward W. Sl			SMITH, JOHNNIE L		
Chem-Space A 655 William Pi		es	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Pittsburgh, PA		3	2881		

DATE MAILED: 03/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/661,842		SHEEHAN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Johnnie L Sr	nith II	2881				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the c	over sheet with the co	orrespondence address				
THE   - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutinely received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, oly within the statuto I will apply and will e te, cause the applica	however, may a reply be tim ry minimum of thirty (30) days xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from to tion to become ABANDONED	ely filed  will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 S	September 200	<u>03</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is nor	ı-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) e drawing(s) be ction is required	held in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2)  Notice 3)  Infor	ot <b>(s)</b> See of References Cited (PTO-892) See of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) See of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) See No(s)/Mail Date <u>0108</u> .		)					

Art Unit: 2881

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-19 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-21 of copending Application No. 10/688,021 in view of US patent 6,107,628 (Smith et al). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1-19 only differ from claims 1-21 of the above copending application in that the limitation wherein a stratified body consisting of a plurality of elements, said elements comprise alternating layers of metal electrodes and insulating material, each said electrode having successively

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/661,842

Art Unit: 2881

smaller apertures wherein said apertures form an ion-funnel having an entry at largest aperture of first metal electrode and an exit at smallest aperture of last metal electrode, said smallest aperture forming inlet aperture into said analytical apparatus. Smith teaches such limitations in the above referenced US patent (column 8 line 62-column 9 line 15). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teachings of Smith to have an ion funnel wherein the size and shape of the entry and exit apertures are defined as such that the acceptance region is larger than the emmitance region for the purpose of controlling the size and shape of the beam or cloud of charged particles directed through the ion funnel (column 4 lines 5-57).

## Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US patents; 6,583,408 (Smith et al), 5,986,259 (Hirabayashi et al), 5,747,799 (Frazen et al), US patent publications 2002/0011560 (Sheenan et al) and 2003/0197121 (Turecek et al). All of the cited US prior art references contain art similar to that being claimed by applicant, more specifically, apparatuses and methods for directing ions and other charged particles.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Johnnie L Smith II whose telephone number is 571-272-2481. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7-4 P.M. and Alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John R Lee can be reached on 571-272-2477. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Johnnie L Smith II Examiner Art Unit 2881

rilsii

SUCCESS PATENT EXAMINER

CONTROL OF CENTER 2800